

McBee High

PERFORMANCE T		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Excellent	Good	N/A

		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	48.3	70.2	73.8	61.1	64.4	64.2	
Passed 2 subtests	25.9	14.0	16.4	19.6	18.4	18.6	
Passed 1 subtest	15.5	8.8	3.3	11.5	11.0	10.1	
Passed no subtests	10.3	7.0	4.9	7.9	6.2	6.5	

Name	PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
All Students 53 94.3 53 9.4 54 79.6 Gender Male 31 90.3 31 6.5 32 75.0 Female 22 100.0 22 13.6 22 86.4 Race or Ethnic Group African American 17 94.1 17 0.0 17 76.5 Hispanic 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 1/S							Graduation Rate	
Gender Male 31 90.3 31 6.5 32 75.0 Female 22 100.0 22 13.6 22 86.4 Race or Ethnic Group African American 17 94.1 17 0.0 17 76.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 0 N/A 83.3 Other N/A N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A 83.3 Other N/A N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A 83.3 Other N/A N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A 83.3 Other N/A N/A 0 N/A 87.4 83.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3 93.3	All Students							
Male 31 90.3 31 6.5 32 75.0 Female 22 100.0 22 13.6 22 86.4 Race or Ethnic Group African American 17 94.1 17 0.0 17 76.5 Hispanic 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 0 N/A 83.3 0th/A 0 N/A 83.3 0th/A 0 N/A 0 87.5 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A <td></td> <td>55</td> <td>34.0</td> <td>55</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>34</td> <td>79.0</td>		55	34.0	55	3.4	34	79.0	
Race or Ethnic Group African American 17 94.1 17 0.0 17 76.3 Hispanic 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 0 N/A White 35 94.3 34 14.7 36 83.3 0ther N/A N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.9		31	QD 3	31	6.5	32	75.0	
African American 17 94.1 17 0.0 17 76.5 Hispanic 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 1/S 1 1/S White 35 94.3 34 14.7 36 83.3 Other N/A N/A 1 1/S 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.5 Students without disabilities 53 94.3 47 10.6 46 87.0 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5							86.4	
Hispanic 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S 1 I/S White 35 94.3 34 14.7 36 83.0 Other N/A N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.5 Students without disabilities 53 94.3 47 10.6 46 87.0 Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Race or Ethnic Group							
White 35 94.3 34 14.7 36 83.3 Other N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.4 Students without disabilities 53 94.3 47 10.6 46 87.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	African American	17	94.1	17	0.0	17	76.5	
Other N/A N/A 1 I/S 0 N/A Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.9 Students without disabilities 53 94.3 47 10.6 46 87.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Emiliated English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	
Disability Status Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.4 Students without disabilities 53 94.3 47 10.6 46 87.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	White	35	94.3	34	14.7	36	83.3	
Non-speech disabilities N/A N/A 6 0.0 8 37.5 Students without disabilities 53 94.3 47 10.6 46 87.0 Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Other	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	0	N/A	
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 <	Disability Status							
Migrant Status Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Emitted English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	6	0.0	8	37.5	
Migrant N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Students without disabilities	53	94.3	47	10.6	46	87.0	
Non-migrant N/A N/A 53 9.4 0 N/A English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Migrant Status							
English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Limited English proficient N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	53	9.4	0	N/A	
Non-LEP 52 94.2 53 9.4 54 79.6 Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.5	English Proficiency							
Lunch Status Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.8	Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Subsidized meals 22 90.9 14 0.0 23 56.9	Non-LEP	52	94.2	53	9.4	54	79.6	
	Lunch Status							
Full-pay meals 31 96.8 39 12.8 31 96.8	Subsidized meals	22	90.9	14	0.0	23	56.5	
	Full-pay meals	31	96.8	39	12.8	31	96.8	

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	9.4	9.2
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	9.4	9.4
Seniors who met the grade point average	67.9	43.8

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

McBee High 1301006

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 425)				
Retention rate	9.5%	Up from 6.1%	9.5%	7.3%
Attendance rate	93.8%	Down from 94.7%	95.5%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	Down from 10.9%	3.1%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	14.3%	No change	13.1%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	7.5%	Up from 7.4%	12.0%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	2.6%	Up from 0.4%	2.6%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	8.1%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.7%	Down from 3.5%	3.2%	2.7%
	62.8%	Down from 74.2%	5.8%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	r 260	Down from 265	384	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	90.5%	Down from 100.0%	26.5%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	81.7%	Down from 81.8%	73.7%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	97.2%	Down from 99.0%	99.3%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 30)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	46.7%	Up from 45.2%	46.4%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	90.0%	Up from 87.1%	82.0%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	86.2%	Down from 87.2%	84.9%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.4%	Down from 97.8%	95.5%	95.8%
	\$40,518	Up 2.5%	\$39,856	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	8.0 days	Down from 9.6 days	9.8 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	24.8 to 1	Down from 25.5 to 1	24.6 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.1%	Down from 91.4%	89.8%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,083	Up 1.4%	\$6,318	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	58.6%	Up from 56.8%	56.3%	57.8%
	Good	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	91.7%	Down from 99.0%	94.8%	87.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Driver and sudited financial data are reported	,		,	,

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

McBee High

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year was a busy one for students, faculty, and staff. We were tested like never before. In the fall we administered the PSAT, PLAN, ASVAB, and the senior EXIT Exam. Later in the spring we gave the spring EXIT Exam, HSAP, EOC, AP Calculus and English, and PACT. We saw success in many academic areas. Our SAT Team won the Region SAT Competition for the fifth straight year. The Class of 2003 had two Palmetto Scholars, and a former member of that class graduated from the Governor's School for Science and Mathematics and also received a Palmetto Scholarship. A member of the Class of 2005 was recently notified that she had been accepted to the South Carolina Governor's School for the Arts and Humanities. This is a first for McBee High School. Fifteen members of the Class of 2003 have earned a Life Scholarship. One of our outstanding achievements this year came in the form of our Accelerated Reader program. We surpassed last year's total of 7,131 AR points by more than 5,000 points. Our Media Specialist used positive incentives, such as a trip to the movies and cash rewards, to push our AR total for the year to 12,867 points. This program promotes the invaluable and beneficial habit of reading.

In March of this year, a SACS Peer Review Team visited us. They scrutinized our School Improvement Plan and interviewed community members, parents, faculty, and students. They were extremely complimentary of our school improvement plan and school climate. Our faculty worked very hard to put together our plan, and we were very pleased that the visiting team recognized our hard work.

The construction and renovation work at McBee High School has brought about many improvements. By the end of May, all furniture, books, and equipment had been moved to several storage locations. Demolition crews rapidly gutted the inside of the old school making way for the new. Construction completion dates have essentially been met, and the new, up-to-date facilities are now in use, greatly enhancing our academic program.

Finally, we have two McBee High School goals for next year. We want to establish an Academic Booster Club and increase parental involvement in their children's school activities. Since we are asking parents to get involved in our school, encourage your student to get involved in school activities and make sure that you pay close attention to your child's grades and attendance.

George W. Gering III, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
Teachers Students Parents						
Number of surveys returned	30	49	90			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.3%	72.3%	76.4%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.3%	69.4%	50.0%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	43.3%	77.6%	74.7%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 - Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.